1 2	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION SECRETARIAL SENSITIVE
3	In the Matter of) 7005 0∃€ 18 ⊋ 4: 0b
5 6 7 8	MUR 5776) CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE TALENT FOR SENATE COMMITTEE) ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM)
9 10 11 12	
13 14	GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT
15	The Office of General Counsel has scored MUR 5776 as a low-rated matter. Under
16	the Enforcement Priority System, matters that are low-rated
17	are forwarded
18	to the Commission with a recommendation for dismissal. The Commission has determined
19	that pursuing low-rated matters compared to other higher rated matters on the Enforcement
20	docket warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss these cases.
21	The facts giving rise to this complaint involve an advertisement that appeared in the
22	St. Louis Metro Sentinel Journal, which allegedly lacked an appropriate disclaimer.
23	Specifically, the advertisement stated that, "African Americans Turn-Out In Record Numbers
24	To Support Re-Election Efforts Of U.S. Senator Jim Talent. We Need To Return Senator
25	Talent To Congress. He Is A Good Friend." The advertisement contained a disclaimer on
26	the bottom of the page that read, "Donated by A Friend." The complainant claims that since
27	the advertisement failed to denote who paid for the message that it could have been produced
28	in conjunction with the Talent for Senate Committee.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

The Talent for Senate Committee ("Committee") responded to the complaint by denying that it was responsible for the advertisement. Additionally, the Committee noted that the advertisement appeared to be protected by the ruling in *McIntyre v. Ohio Elections Commission*, 514 U.S. 334 (1995).²

This Office contacted the St. Louis Metro Sentinel Journal to inquire about the costs associated with running an advertisement similar to the one at issue in this case. The newspaper runs a weekly edition and indicated that it charges \$2,500 for a full-page advertisement and \$250 for each color up to \$1,000 for four colors. Thus, the total costs associated with the advertisement in this case may have reached a maximum of \$3,500. Accordingly, in reviewing the complaint in this case, we believe that pursuing this matter would require the Commission to expend staff time and resources for what appears to be a case involving a nominal advertising expenditure. Therefore, in furtherance of the Commission's priorities and resources relative to other matters pending on the Enforcement docket, the Office of General Counsel believes that the Commission should exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss the matter. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).

RECOMMENDATION

The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss MUR 5776, close the file effective two weeks from the date of the Commission vote, and approve the appropriate letters. Closing the case as of this date will allow CELA and

² This case involved the interpretation of a state law, which prohibited the distribution of campaign literature that did not contain the name and address of the person or campaign official issuing the literature. The facts involved a leaflet that was distributed, which purported to express the views of "Concerned Parents And Tax Payers," who were opposing a school tax levy. The Supreme Court held that the State's prohibition on the distribution of anonymous campaign material abridged the freedom of speech right protected in the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

2 the public record.

3

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11 12 13

14

15 16

(J)

L₁1

M

M

#4 \$1

CJ.

270

17 18 19

20 21 22

23 24

25

BY:

Special Counsel

James A. Kahl

Complaints Examination & Legal Administration

Deputy General Counsel

Jeff/S. Jordan/

Supervisory Attorney Complaints Examination & Legal Administration

Attachment:

Narrative in MUR 5776

29

1 2 3 4 **MUR 5776** 5 6 **Complainant:** Corey Dıllon Executive Director, Missouri Democratic Party 7 8 9 **Respondents:** Talent for Senate Committee and 10 Shirley J. Simmons, as Treasurer James Matthes Talent 11 12 Unknown Respondent 13 14 Allegations: Complainant alleges that a newspaper advertisement that appeared in the St. Louis Metro Sentinel Journal, which advocated for the election of James Talent for 15 Senate, lacked an appropriate disclaimer. Specifically, the advertisement stated that, 16 17 "African Americans Turn-Out In Record Numbers To Support Re-Election Efforts Of U.S. Senator Jim Talent. We Need To Return Senator Talent To Congress. He Is A 18 Good Friend." The advertisement contained a disclaimer on the bottom of the page that 19 read, "Donated by A Friend." 20 21 22 Response: The Talent for Senate Committee responded to the complaint and claimed 23 that it did not authorize or coordinate the advertisement. Furthermore, the advertisement appeared to be protected under a Supreme Court ruling. 24 25 26 Date complaint filed: July 27, 2006 27 28 **Response received:** August 18, 2006